The SCUBA Local Universe Galaxy Survey - Dust along the Hubble sequence -

> Catherine Vlahakis University of Bonn

Collaborators: Steve Eales (Cardiff University) Loretta Dunne (University of Nottingham) What is SLUGS?

✤ Results from the survey

Recent work using SLUGS results

- the FIR-radio relation

SLUGS – a submm survey of galaxies in the local Universe

- at 850µm and 450µm
- Survey of ~200 nearby galaxies:
 - 104 60µm-selected ("IRS")
 (Dunne et al. 2000, Dunne & Eales 2001)
 - 81 optically-selected ("OS")

 drawn from right along the Hubble sequence
 (Vlahakis, Dunne & Eales 2005)

"OS" sample: investigate the properties of dust along the whole length of the Hubble sequence, in particular the cool 20 K dust

Detected 52 galaxies in the OS sample, 17 of which also detected at 450µm

Several common features in the submm morphology of spirals:

- many exhibit two peaks of 850-µm emission, seemingly coincident with spiral arms
- others are core dominated and exhibit a single central peak of submm emission
- many have a combination of these features or have irregular morphologies
- in a number of cases the submm emission clearly follows a prominent dust lane







Any correlation between Hubble type and submm morphology?

OS SLUGS results: two-component SED



OS SLUGS results: two-component SED



OS SLUGS results: two-component SED

We find that the 60-, 100- (*IRAS*), 450- and 850- μ m (SCUBA) data are well fitted by a two-component dust model with dust emissivity index $\beta=2$

The temperatures of the warm component range from 28 to 59 K

The cold component temperatures range from 17 to 24 K

OS SLUGS results: single-component SED

Mean dust emissivity index $\beta=1.1$

Significantly lower than the *IRAS* selected sample

Rather than a physical difference in the emissivity behaviour of the grains (β) we believe that it is due to a difference in the ratios of cold to warm dust

25 20 SOURCES 15 <u>ģ</u> 10 0.0 0.51.0 1.5 2.0

Distribution of β values

SLUGS results: Colour-colour plot



Population of galaxies containing a large proportion of cold dust - unrepresented in the *IRAS* sample

FIR-radio relation

Relation between non-thermal radio and FIR emission from galaxies

- One of strongest correlations in astronomy
- Tight correlation over 5 decades of luminosity

Cause of relationship still unclear

"Standard" explanation: both FIR and radio emission caused by high-mass stars



FIR-radio relation

Optically-selected SLUGS submm measurements allow us to test a basic prediction of the standard theory

Standard model prediction:

FIR-radio correlation will be tighter than the submm-radio

Reason:

Regions with large no. of OB stars :

- ISRF more intense
- dust hotter than in general ISM
- gives rise to 60µm emission

850µm emission:

- traces colder dust heated by ISRF
- includes component from older stellar populations

SLUGS FIR-radio relation



Tight correlation between FIR and radio for OS sample

SLUGS submm-radio relation



Much larger scatter of submm-radio relation for OS sample

 \rightarrow Exactly the behaviour we would expect if standard model is correct

Carilli & Yun method:- Use redshift-sensitive nature of submm-radio flux density ratio as redshift estimator



Based on assumption that the FIR-radio relation is the same at low-z and high-z

We use fitted SEDs for 17 OS SLUGS galaxies to

- Predict how α depends on redshift, for "normal" low-z galaxies

 Compare with deep SCUBA sources with spectroscopic redshifts (Chapman et al. 2005)

- Use this to assess reliability of CY method

a-redshift relation

- Source could be IRS-like and high-z or OS-like and low-z
- Temp affects position on α–z diagram
- Difficult to get reliable estimates of redshift in this way

Deep SCUBA sources:

- No correlation, but...
- Brighter in radio (or fainter in FIR) than the predictions for our local SLUGS samples
- A number of possible explanations



a-redshift relation: possible explanations

- i. Correlation between α and luminosity at given z
 - Unlikely
 - No evidence for sufficient correlations out to high redshift
- ii. Chapman sources: FIR and radio emission comes from AGN
 - Unlikely:
 - Not strong x-ray sources
 - Radio morphologies not typical of AGN
 - Optical spectra often starburst not AGN
- iii. Redshifts of Chapman sources are unreliable
 - Unlikely
 - Test this using subsample with robustly determined redshifts (Aretxaga et al. 2007) and find no difference
- iv. Relation between FIR and radio different at high and low z
 - Very different conditions compared to today \rightarrow surprising if relation were the same
 - We feel is the most likely explanation

Summary

- 60-, 100- (*IRAS*), 450- and 850-μm (SCUBA) fluxes are well fitted by a two-component dust model with dust emissivity index β=2
- Ratio of mass of cold dust to mass of warm dust much higher for our OS galaxies than for *IRAS*-selected galaxies
- FIR-radio correlation for OS SLUGS much stronger than submmradio, evidence that massive star formation is cause of FIR-radio relation
- Much more scatter in α–z relation for "normal" galaxies than for bright IRAS galaxies
 - For CY method to be reliable as redshift estimator for deep submm sources, first need measurement of dust temp
- α–z relation: deep submm galaxies brighter sources of radio emission than predicted from properties of local galaxies
 - possible explanation is evolution of FIR-radio relation